Barry N. Gutterman, Esq. (BG6410) Robert Briere Esq. (RB6080) Barry N. Gutterman & Associates, P.C. Attorneys for Defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company 60 East 42nd Street, 46th Floor New York, New York 10165 (212) 983-1466

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MITSUI SUMITOMO INSURANCE CO., LTD.

Plaintiffs

v.

ECF Case

07 CV 3874 (Judge McMahon)

EVERGREEN MARINE CORPORATION and UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY

Defendants.

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES TO EVERGREEN MARINE CORPORATION'S CROSS-CLAIMS

Defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company ("UP"), by its attorneys, Barry N.

Gutterman & Associates, P.C., for its Answer and Affirmative Defenses to Evergreen Marine

Corporation's ("Evergreen") Cross-Claims, states as follows:

- 1-41. UP does not respond to paragraphs 1-41 as such allegations are not addressed to it.
- 42. UP repeats and reallages its response to paragraphs 1-41 as its response to paragraph 42.
- 43. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 43 of the Cross-Claims.

- 44. UP repeats and reallages its response to paragraphs 1-43 as its response to paragraph 44.
- 45. UP denies the allegations contained in paragraph 45 of the Cross-Claims.

AS AND FOR A FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

46. To the extent that the plaintiff and Evergreen failed to meet the minimum filing requirements of filing a proper written claim within the time prescribed, this lawsuit is time barred.

AS AND FOR A SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

47. To the extent that Evergreen failed to file cross-claims within the period proscribed, their cross-claims are time barred.

AS AND FOR A THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

48. The complaint and cross-claims fail to state a claim against UP on which relief may be granted.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

49. In the event that the plaintiff and/or Evergreen had not or has no title or interest in the shipment that is the subject of this action, then the plaintiff and/or Evergreen is not the real party in interest an is not entitled to maintain this suit.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

50. In the event that said shipment moved subject to any statutory or contractual limitations of liability, either specifically agreed to or contained in any applicable

recover in excess of such limitations.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

tariffs and/or governing publications, the plaintiff and/or Evergreen may not

51. Plaintiff's common law claims are preempted by federal law.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

52. The bill of lading, tariffs and classifications and the governing publications do not contemplate responsibility for special damages. To the extent, that plaintiff and/or Evergreen seeks recovery for special damages, UP is not responsible.

WHEREFORE, defendant Union Pacific Railroad Company demands judgment; (1) dismissing the Cross-Claims with prejudice, together with the costs, disbursements and reasonable attorneys fees; and (2) for such other or different relief as this Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: New York, New York June 21, 2007

By: /s/ Barry N. Gutterman

Barry N. Gutterman, Esq. (BG6410)

Robert Briere, Esq. (RB6080)

Barry N. Gutterman & Associates, P.C.

60 East 42nd Street, 46th Floor New York, New York 10165

Phone: (212) 983-1466

Attorneys for Defendant

Union Pacific Railroad Company

To: David L. Mazaroli, Esq. (DM-2929)

11 Park Place – Suite 1214 New York, New York 10007-2801 Phone: (212) 267-8480

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Jessica DeVivo, Esq. (JAD-6588) Chichanowicz, Callan, Keane, Vengrow & Textor 61 Broadway, Suite 3000 New York, New York 10016 Phone: (212) 344-7042

Attorneys for Defendant Evergreen Marine Corporation

UP2718.aad.Evergreen.Cross Claims